Artificial light at night desynchronizes strictly seasonal reproduction in a wild mammal
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Change in day length is an important cue for reproductive activation in seasonally breeding animals to ensure that the timing of greatest maternal investment (e.g. lactation in mammals) coincides with favourable environmental conditions (e.g. peak productivity). However, artificial light at night has the potential to interfere with the perception of such natural cues. Following a 5-year study on two populations of wild marsupial mammals exposed to different night-time levels of anthropogenic light, we show that light pollution in urban environments masks seasonal changes in ambient light cues, suppressing melatonin levels and delaying births in the tammar wallaby. These results highlight a previously unappreciated relationship linking artificial light at night with induced changes in mammalian reproductive physiology, and the potential for larger-scale impacts at the population level.

1. Introduction

Artificial light at night is one of the most common and fastest growing types of environmental pollution, increasing at 6% per year globally, and identified as a key threat to biodiversity [1]. Although the benefit of artificial light at night to humans is clear, for many organisms, anthropogenic sources of night light have the potential to disrupt physiological processes that rely on the daily and seasonal rhythms of light cues, such as emergence time [2,3], foraging behaviour [4], communication [5,6] and the timing of reproduction [7–10]. Additionally, laboratory studies on rodents have shown that artificial light at night can drive other physiological processes that may have fitness and/or survival consequences, such as suppressed immune function, impaired stress responses [11,12] and reduced cognition [13]. However, current research on the effects of light at night in mammals consists of two distinct approaches with little integration: laboratory-based physiological studies and field-based behavioural observations [14].

The physiology and behaviour of mammals follow daily circadian oscillations maintained by an internal timekeeping system within the hypothalamic suprachiasmatic nuclei. This biological clock is set by photic cues perceived by the retina [15]. A key endocrinological player in orchestrating this circadian rhythm is the pineal hormone melatonin (MLT) [16]. The secretion of MLT shows diel variation, with maximal production during the dark phase of the photoperiod and low levels during the light phase. Pinealectomy suppresses these photoperiodic rhythms, and subsequent MLT supplementation reinstates them [17]. In this way, photoperiod and the profile of MLT secretion conveys both time of day and seasonal information in mammals [18]. This information can be used to restrict reproduction to particular seasons to ensure that favourable environmental conditions coincide with increased energetic demands associated with provisioning of young [19,20]. However, artificial light at night can suppress nocturnal MLT production in a range of species, from birds [21,22] to humans [23], with the potential to change the timing of reproduction in species that rely on photoperiod cues [14,24].
The tammar wallaby (*Macropus eugenii*) is a small nocturnal macropod marsupial that has been the focus of extensive reproductive research over the last 180 years [25,26]. Free-ranging tammar wallabies are today restricted to small areas of mainland Western Australia and several offshore islands, most notably Kangaroo Island (South Australia) and Garden Island (Western Australia). Garden Island (figure 1) is located 5 km off the coast, approximately 35 km southwest of Perth (115°40’E, 32°16’S). Since 1972, Garden Island has been occupied by the Australian Department of Defence for the operation of the country’s largest naval base (HMAS Stirling). The naval base infrastructure is fenced, and primarily confined to the southern end of the island, leaving the majority of the remaining island relatively undisturbed. This produces populations of wallabies subject to different levels of anthropogenic disturbance [27,28]. The resulting urbanized naval base population experiences significant night-time light pollution (figure 1), since much of the native vegetation has been replaced with illuminated buildings, footpaths and roads, whereas the bush population is undisturbed and experiences natural levels of light at night.

The annual cycle of reproduction in the tammar wallaby is highly synchronous and is cued by changes in day length [29–32]. Most births occur in late January, six weeks after the austral summer solstice, and females experience a postpartum oestrus. The embryo conceived post-partum remains
dormant during lactation of the current pouch young and is reactivated after the summer solstice [33]. Females breeding for the first time mate in October, yet also hold the resulting embryo dormant until after the summer solstice. This obligate light-cued breeding is under neuroendocrine control [25]. Briefly, as day length decreases, the increase in MLT causes the pituitary gland to secrete prolactin, which in turn acts on the corpus luteum to produce progesterone, which initiates blastocyst re-activation [34–36]. However, whether increased anthropogenic light at night can influence hormonal signals in wild individuals is unknown. Here, we present the first study linking artificial light at night to changes in endocrinology and reproductive timing in a seasonally reproducing free-ranging mammal.

2. Material and methods

(a) Study population and area

The study was conducted on two populations of free-ranging tammar wallabies on Garden Island, Western Australia, with different levels of artificial lighting. The urbanized naval base population has light pollution while the natural bush population at the far northern end of the island, approximately 5.6 km from the naval base, is without artificial lighting (figure 1). We have studied these populations since 2005. At each trapping period, wallabies were captured using ‘Thomas traps’—soft-sided traps constructed from shade cloth suspended within a wire frame (450 × 450 × 800 mm; Sheffield Wire Works, Welshpool, Western Australia). At capture, we recorded ear-tag numbers, sex, body mass, long pes (foot) length and reproductive status of females. Previously untagged individuals were given a numbered metal ear-tag in each ear (National Band and Tag Company, Kentucky, USA) for identification on subsequent recaptures. In addition, we made an assessment of the major developmental features (e.g. appearance of whiskers, eyes opening, pigmentation and growth stage of fur), and measured the head length and pes length of all pouch young to calculate age, based on growth tables for the species [37,38], and then back-estimated birth dates (see below).

(b) Measuring individual exposure to light at night

In December 2013, we captured five female wallabies from the naval base and five from the natural bush for individual measurements of light exposure at night in free-ranging wallabies. We used micro-light loggers (weight 2.8 g; custom made by the University of Konstanz, Germany) attached to GPS data-logging collars (Sirtrack G2C171B; Havelock North, New Zealand) with an internal time-release mechanism and VHF transmitter to facilitate collection in April 2014 without the need to recapture each animal. The light loggers recorded and stored light intensity data every 2 min, and the GPS collars recorded and stored position data every 30 min during the night and every 2 h during the day. We additionally deployed 12 light loggers into the environment (six on the base—three directly below streetlights and three at a distance greater than 5 m from a light source—and six in the bush) to measure environmental light. The light loggers had been calibrated against a pyranometer to calculate irradiance (Watt m^−2) from frequency values [39]. We recovered light data from 6 of the 10 wallabies (three from each population) and 8 from 12 environment loggers (four from each population); data loss was due to either light logger or VHF failures. To examine the light intensities experienced by wallabies at night, we used the data between astronomical sunset and sunrise (times obtained from the Perth Observatory; available at http://www.perthobservatory.wa.gov.au) to calculate light irradiance for the middle of each night (this excludes twilight) from 14 December 2013 to 15 February 2014. During the month of December, we also calculated median light intensity each night for the environment (bush—base under lights and base away from lights) and the median light experienced by individual wallabies during two time periods: middle of the night (as described above) and dawn/dusk (twilight; sunset to astronomical sunset and astronomical sunrise to sunrise).

(c) Blood collection and melatonin analysis

Blood was obtained from the lateral caudal vein by venipuncture using a 22-gauge needle from female wallabies trapped at night (between astronomical sunset and sunrise) from both populations in December 2009 and 2013 (n = 38 in 2009—29 base, 9 bush; n = 29 in 2013—26 base, 3 bush). Collected blood samples were transferred to vials (BD Vacutainer) containing sodium ethylene diamine tetracetic and centrifuged at 3000 r.p.m. for 10 min, with the plasma pipetted into Eppendorf tubes and stored frozen at −80°C until assayed.

Plasma samples were assayed for MLT using an enzyme-linked immunoassay, ELISA (Wallaby Melatonin Kit, Cat no. KT-61019, Kamiya Biomedical Company, Seattle, USA). Plates were read on an Anthos 1010 plate reader (Anthos Labtech Instruments, Salzburg, Austria) at a wavelength of 450 nm. All plasma samples were assayed in duplicate and the average absorbance calculated. MLT concentration was determined following calculation of the standard curve using the provided calibrators. The sensitivity of the assay is 1.0 pg ml^-1. Intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were 5.3% and 14.1%, respectively.

(d) Birth schedules

We used our long-term dataset encompassing a 5-year period (2005–2007, 2009–2010) where we had reproductive information to calculate birth schedules for each population (total of 237 births—118 base, 119 bush). The ages of pouch young were determined from aging tables based on major developmental features, head and pes length measurements [37,38]—and their estimated ages used to back-estimate their birth date.

(e) Data analysis

To analyse variation in median light intensities of the environment and those experienced by the wallabies, we built generalized linear mixed-effects models (GLMMs) with a gamma distribution and log-link function using the lme4 package [40] in the R v. 3.0.3 statistical environment [41]. Median light intensity was the response variable, and population of wallabies (base or bush)/location of environment loggers (base under lights, base away from lights or bush) and time of night (dawn/dusk or middle of the night) were fixed effects. The logger was modelled as a random effect to account for non-independence of light intensity values collected on the same logger on different days. Models were built including an interaction between population/location and time of night. Pairwise contrasts were examined using least square means and incorporating Tukey’s adjustment for multiple comparisons with the R package lsmeans [42]. We checked model assumptions using Q–Q plots (normality of variance) and by plotting residuals against fitted values (homogeneity of variance). A preliminary analysis of MLT concentrations using analysis of variance in JMP 11 (SAS Institute, Cary, USA) revealed no significant within-population effect of year (F1,65 = 0.178, p = 0.675); therefore, we pooled the data for the 2 years together. Mean MLT concentration was then analysed for population differences by a pooled t-test. Data were tested for normality (Shapiro–Wilk test) and homogeneity of variances (Levene’s test) prior to analysis. MLT data were log-transformed to meet the assumptions of parametric tests. Birth schedules for the two populations were
compared using a non-parametric Kolmogorov–Smirnov two-sample test in JMP v. 11 (SAS Institute).

We determined home ranges from the location data recorded by the GPS collars using the time-based local convex hull method (T-LoCoH) with the T-LoCoH package [43] in the R v. 3.0.3 statistical environment [41]. The GPS data were first refined to remove all locations that were determined to be using less than three satellites and those locations with a horizontal dilution of precision value greater than 10. We used the \( k \) method to determine the number of nearest neighbours [44], which we set at 15, and the space–time balance was set at \( s = 0.04 \). Home ranges were defined as 95% isopleths and used all locations recorded between 14 December and 31 March. The number of locations used ranged between 1850 and 2800.

3. Results

Wallabies living in the bush and on the naval base experienced very different light environments at night. Animals in the bush were exposed only to astronomical sources of light, including a clear lunar cycle (figure 2a). Conversely, such natural cues were not apparent on the base owing to an order of magnitude increase in night-time light intensity (figure 2a). Consistent with other studies showing a suppressive effect of light on MLT secretion [21–23], night-time levels of MLT in naval base wallabies were significantly lower than those of bush animals (\( t_{65} = 3.5, p = 0.0009 \); figure 2b). Wallabies from the bush had mean (±s.e.) December night-time MLT concentrations of 213.24 ± 49.3 pg ml\(^{-1}\), consistent with summer night-time MLT concentrations described by McConnell [45], while base animals had mean (±s.e.) night-time MLT concentrations of 86.91 ± 20 pg ml\(^{-1}\), consistent with diurnal MLT concentrations [45]. Interestingly, the distribution of birth dates is significantly different between the bush and base populations (Kolmogorov–Smirnov two-sample test; \( D = 0.351, p < 0.001 \), with a median birth date of 1 February in the bush and 28 February on the base.

Figure 2. (a) Wallabies on the naval base experience orders of magnitude more light pollution and loss of natural light cues at night. Mean (±s.e) night-time light intensity (irradiance, Watt m\(^{-2}\)) from astronomical sunset to sunrise (middle of the night, excludes dawn/dusk) experienced each night from 14 December to 15 February by wallabies inhabiting the bush (black bars) and the naval base (orange bars). Full moons occur on the 17 December, 16 January and the 15 February. Note: y-axes are on different scales. (b) Night-time MLT is significantly suppressed under high light pollution levels. Mean (±s.e) night-time MLT levels in bush (\( n = 12 \); black bar) and naval base wallabies (\( n = 55 \); orange bar) around the time of reproductive re-activation (December log-transformed MLT; \( t_{65} = 3.5, p = 0.0009 \)). y-axis represents back-transformed log MLT concentrations. (c) Birth is delayed under high light pollution. The distribution of births in the bush (\( n = 119 \); black bars) and on the naval base (\( n = 118 \); orange bars) are significantly different (Kolmogorov–Smirnov two-sample test; \( D = 0.351, p < 0.001 \)), with a median birth date of 1 February in the bush and 28 February on the base.
study is six weeks after the summer solstice, consistent with all previous studies, including ones on this population published 38 and 185 years ago [25,46]. In stark contrast to the bush animals, the median birth date for base wallabies is 10 weeks after December median (± 95% confidence limits) night-time light intensity (irradiance, Watt m⁻²) of the available environment (bush, base: under artificial lights, and base: away from human-made light sources) and the light experienced by individual wallabies (bush and base) during two night-time periods; dawn/dusk (open bars) and middle of the night (solid bars). Levels not connected by same letter are significantly different (Tukey’s test after GLMM).

Figure 3. Key reproductive stages are related to changes in the duration of night relative to the austral summer solstice. The longest day of the year occurred on the 22 December (yellow circle, at this stage blastocysts [I] are in diapause), after which there is a progressive increase in the amount of darkness that triggers blastocyst re-activation. Median re-activation dates are plotted as open circles (black for bush, orange for base) followed 31 days later by median birth dates (solid circles). The normal birth of highly altricial young [II] in late January ensures that the time of pouch young emergence [III] and highest daily milk consumption, matches peak resources in August—September following predictable winter rainfall in June—July. Conversely, late re-activation and birth of base animals causes a trophic mismatch later in the year. Photos [I] and [II] with permission Geoff Shaw, [III] Kylie Robert.

4. Discussion

Despite a worldwide increase in anthropogenic light pollution, few studies have assessed the impact of artificial light at night on free-ranging wildlife [47], and to our knowledge no study has examined its impact on the timing of reproduction in a wild mammal. Here, we have demonstrated that anthropogenic light at night modifies nocturnal MLT secretion and delays reproductive activation in a seasonally reproducing free-ranging mammal. These results suggest that urban light pollution could have profound impacts on desynchronizing seasonal physiological processes in wildlife. Although it is difficult to disentangle the effects of other anthropogenic disturbances, there are no differences in the home range sizes of wallabies inhabiting each population (bush: 3.97 ± 0.14 ha; base: 3.49 ± 0.45 ha; t₂₂ = 0.24, p = 0.83), suggesting that the urbanized base environment is not disrupting movements or foraging behaviour. An alternative explanation for our results, however, might propose that...
resource differences between the populations have relaxed the strictly seasonal breeding of the tammar wallabies on the base, owing to the forage (irrigated lawns) of these animals not being tied to seasonal rains. However, this explanation is unlikely as the timing of birth is remarkably constant from year to year in both captive and wild populations [25, 48]—so much so that the median birth date of the Garden Island population was described in studies 147 years apart as 22 January in 1830 [46] and 27 January in 1977 [25]. Moreover, wallabies transported to the USA shifted their timing of births six months out of phase to also fall six weeks after the northern hemisphere summer solstice [29]. Lastly, tammar wallabies do not change their reproductive pattern when held in captivity with unlimited resources (i.e. ad libitum high-quality forage [25]). Instead, phenophotioderm and day length are genetically fixed triggers for reproduction in most seasonally reproducing mammals [49].

The full effects of anthropogenic light at night on the fitness consequences of altered timing of reproduction in tammar wallabies are at present largely unknown. Such reproductive timing and resource mismatch has been shown to reduce offspring production fourfold in caribou when calving does not match peak resources [50], and can result in population declines of around 90% in migratory pied flycatchers where peak provisioning of nestlings and food are misaligned [51]. On the naval base, native vegetation persists in clumps, surrounded by lawns of introduced grasses (primarily couch grass, Cynodon dactylon). Until recently, these irrigated grasses were a major part of the diet of the wallabies on the base [27, 52] and we believe this access has to date buffered any negative effects [53]. However, the irrigation of these lawns was recently disconnected and the only irrigated area (the oval) has been fenced to exclude wallabies. In the future, late-reproducing base wallabies may suffer a trophic mismatch and food shortages during late lactation, with reduced offspring survival resulting in larger-scale impacts at the population level.

With a need to reduce CO2 emissions globally and meet climate change targets there is an annual growth rate of 30% in energy-efficient light sources such as high-brightness white light-emitting diodes (LEDs) [54]. Despite the energetic benefits of LEDs, there is growing concern that exposure to white LEDs will impact biodiversity [55] in part by suppressing the production of MLT and altering circadian patterns [55]. Accordingly, white LEDs suppress MLT 4.5–5.4 times more than traditionally used high-pressure sodium (HPS) bulbs in humans [56, 57]. The replacement of HPS street lighting with white LED street lighting will lead to higher nighttime light pollution and greater MLT suppression [57]. Understanding the ecological consequences of this increasing shift to energy-efficient white lights in urban environments requires a greater understanding of the impacts of artificial night light on the biological processes of species in situ.
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and not the phylum to which all previously known methane-metabolizing archaea belonged. — NW

Science, this issue p. 434, see also p. 384

REPRODUCTIVE BIOLOGY

Mouse work may lead to male contraceptive

Unintended pregnancies are a major health issue worldwide. Although oral contraceptives were developed decades ago for use in women, there are no male oral contraceptives. Miyata et al. show that genetic deletion or drug inhibition of sperm-specific calcineurin enzymes in mice cause male sterility (see the Perspective by Castaneda and Matzuk). Although calcineurin inhibitors resulted in male infertility within 2 weeks, fertility recovered 1 week after halting drug administration. Because the sperm-specific calcineuin complex is also found in humans, its inhibition may be a strategy for developing reversible male contraceptives. — BAP
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ASTEROSEISMOLOGY

Stellar oscillations as magnetic probes

Unlike magnetic fields on the surfaces of stars, those within a star have so far remained difficult to observe. Fuller et al. have developed a method of delving into the magnetic depths by exploiting the oscillations of red giant stars. A high magnetic field can cause sound waves to become trapped within the central regions of the star, damping certain vibration modes. Using seismological techniques, this suppression can help infer the core magnetic field for several red giants. — KTS
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LIGHT POLLUTION

Stay away from the light

We live in a world flooded with light 24 hours a day. Before our recent harnessing of electricity, light was a reliable indicator of seasonal variability in resources and many species evolved to take advantage of this signal. Robert et al. show that artificial light can alter these species physiological responses and desynchronize their seasonal reproduction. Specifically, they found that nocturnal tammar wallabies that lived near a continuously lighted military base secreted less melatonin at night and that this delayed reproduction by nearly a month, as compared to animals living without artificial light nearby. Animals living near the base attempted to avoid lighted areas, but these results suggest that light pollution may have unavoidable physiological effects. — SNV


BIOENGINEERING

Drugs ride waves across tissue barriers

Drugs that travel through the gastrointestinal (GI) tract meet tissue barriers that limit their uptake and dilute potency. Schoellhammer et al. used a common handheld ultrasonic probe to temporarily disrupt the barriers, allowing drugs to pass. The ultrasonic waves drove drugs into pig colonic tissue faster than natural absorption, without damage to the tissue. The approach also encouraged drug delivery into mouse colon tissue, leading to the healing of acute colitis. Ultrasound-mediated drug delivery may offer a versatile solution to barrier challenge in the GI tract. — MLF


NANOMATERIALS

A tough shell for nanoparticles

Nanoparticles made from compound semiconductors such as cadmium sulfide (CdS) can exhibit useful luminescent properties but can also be unstable with respect to ambient air and humidity, especially under conditions of strong illumination. Li et al. show that the passivation of metals by forming self-protecting oxides can be extended to nanoparticles. They added aluminum isopropoxide to the reaction mixture.